EXHIBIT 3

October 2012 Cycle EAR-Based Applications Adopted October 2, 2013

LIST OF FINDINGS OF THE MIAMI-DADE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IF ANY, THAT WERE NOT INCLUDED IN THE ORDINANCE AND WHICH PROVIDED BASIS FOR THE ADOPTION OF THE OCTOBER 2012 CYCLE EVALUATION AND APPRAISAL REPORT (EAR)-BASED APPLICATIONS TO AMEND THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN (CDMP) (SLPA Amendment No. 13-4ER)

FOR APPLICATION NOS. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11

October 2, 2013

The Miami-Dade Board of County Commissioners (Commission), prior to and during the public hearing for final actions on Application Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 of the October 2012 Cycle EAR-Based Applications to amend the CDMP, received supporting documents including the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources "Final Recommendations" report addressing the comments made by South Florida Regional Planning Council by letter dated August 5, 2013; the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission by letter dated July 23, 2013; the Florida Department of Transportation by letter dated July 19, 2013; the comments made by the Department of Economic Opportunity by letter dated September 25, 2013, and the recommendations and public testimony, which served as bases for adopting the pending October 2012 Cycle EAR-Based Applications to amend the CDMP.

Also considered were the recommendations of the Planning Advisory Board, acting as the Local Planning Agency, on these applications, including a summary of the public hearing conducted by the Planning Advisory Board prior to the formulation of its recommendations. At the Commission's final public hearing, the public made verbal testimonies addressing the applications.

To the extent that any report, other documents, testimony or portion thereof prepared and submitted prior to the public hearing, which does not support an adopted application, should be assumed that the Board considered but chose not to rely on such report, other documents, testimony or portion thereof, and that such evidence should be considered a part of these findings.